Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2

- b. Expedite review of investigative files at other Federal agencies in response to requests for special clearances and billet approvals;
- c. Direct relationship with White House Security Office and U.S. Secret Service in connection with maintaining, controlling and recording the Courier and Staff Access List for White House and Executive Office entry.
- 6. Responsible for representing the Office of Security on the Applicant Review Panel and the Overseas Candidate Review Panel. 2423
 - 7. Responsible for managing the Agency drug seminar program for employees and dependents being assigned overseas.
 - 8. Responsible for the preparation of the "Clearance Division Report on Drug Usage Cases," a document outlining statistical information re drug usage cases processed by the Clearance Division.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 1 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/ SEOB **EVALUATION:** DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No__ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No_ V If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Processes overt clearance requests for

staff applicants (clerical and professional).

IDENTAPPROVED For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2

Processes overt clearance requests on staff applicants. ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 2 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/ EVALUATION: SEOB DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? __ No Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes 🗸 Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No__ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes ____ No ___ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No___ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No___ Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ___ No __ If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 3 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Elearance Division/ EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? No Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes___ Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No___ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ___ No __ If so, what?

IDEN####PPWAd For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2
Processes overt clearance requests on

consultants.

IDENTAPHIONED FOR BELEASET 2009/09/03P:1618-FDR83B00823R0007000200146-2clearance	requests
on Contract Type·Internal.	-

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 4	COMPONENT:	OS/PSI/Clearance	
EVALUATION:		DATE:	SĘOB
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activit	y? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)			
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still ne	cessary? Yes	NoNo
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?			ferred
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more effi If so, which	ciently? Less con component and why	stly? y?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? YesNoIf	questionable so, what?	in the light of	
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?			at . -
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by No V If	OS that you feel so, what?	is more

IDENTIAPPRAYED FOR Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2 Processes overt staff-like clearance requests on military assignees.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 5	COMPONENT: OS/	'PSI/Clearance	Division
EVALUATION:		DATE:	S & O I
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity?	Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes of this activity	No	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still nacas	Carva Vac	No No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity s other Office? Y	hould be tran	sferred
Can another component do the activ More properly? Yes No	ity more efficie If so, which co	ntly? Less component and w	ostly? hy?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in so, what?	the light of	
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discency security?	ontinued with	mt .
To 41			
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	y pursued by OS a	that you feel what?	is more

IDENTIFICATION FOR Release 2000/09/03rcClA-RDP83B00823R000700020024-21earance requests on military detailees.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 6	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) or	force? YesNo F this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still no Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient of the so, how?	ecessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTI Approved For Release 2000/09/03 r6LACRDE83B00828R000700020008-2clearance requests on career associates (internal).

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 7	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? Yes No No This activity?
Do you feel the activity is still no Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything of Watergate? Yes No If so	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Ager If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without acy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

 $\label{local_policy} IDENTIFAPQ \textit{rayed}. \textit{For Release 2000/09/03.} \textit{CLA-RDP83B00823R0007000920034.} \textit{2}_{learance requests on civilian detailees.}$

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 8	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division,
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for t	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in a Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	Force? Yes No
·	
Do you feel the activity is still no Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient of the so, how?	ecessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred her Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No I	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything q Watergate? Yes No If so	uestionable in the light of , what?
Can this activity be reduced in scop significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without cy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIAPPRAYED FOR Release 2000/09/03: CLESDES B00823R000700020034-2 on independent contractors.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 9	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force? Yes No of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes :/ No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTI Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CLASDES BD0823R000700020034-2 on language instructors.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 10	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No No This activity?
Do you feel the activity is still a Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient for the so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTI APPROPRED TO Release \$400409/03/06	A-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2	
IDENTIFICATION OF ACIDATAL TARGET	esses crearance requests on	
	employees.	
25X1A		

25X1A

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 11 COMPONE	ENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this act	ivity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this a	YesNo ctivity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still Can the activity be done more efficiently o If so, how?	1 necessary? Yes / No
Do you feel that responsibility for the act to another OS component or to another Off If so, where and why?	ivity should be transferred ice? Yes No
Can another component do the activity more More properly? Yes No If so, w	efficiently? Less costly? hich component and why?
Does the activity include anything question Watergate? Yes No If so, what?	able in the light of
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size significant effect on overall Agency secul If so, how?	or discontinued without rity? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being pursued important than this one? Yes No	by OS that you feel is more If so, what?

IDENTI Approved For Release 2000/09/03 ToldeRDE83 B00823 R00070 0020034 2 mployees under the Reinvestigation Program.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 12	COMPONENT: OS	/PSI/Clearance	
EVALUATION:		DATE:	SEOB
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity?	Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)			
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still neces	ssary? Yes	No_ No_
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity so	should be tran (esNo	sferred
	¥		·
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficie If so, which co	ently? Less component and w	ostly? hy?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in so, what?	n the light of	:
			•
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or disc ency security?	continued with Yes No_	
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS No If so	that you feel, what?	is more

I DENT I LABSIONE QW OO Ke Ke sed Adden in 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	FSDE83E00823E00WCQQP2QQ34approvals.
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 13	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes No
•	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel for the activity be done more effort If so, how?	it is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?

Watergate? Yes___ No__ If so, what?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No_____ No_____

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No__ If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2vals. IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Processes operational approvals.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 14	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/C	learance Division/ S&OB
EVALUATION:	<u>D</u> A	ATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date	∍(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes of this activity?	No
Do you feel the activity is still a Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient for the so, how?	necessary? Yes_/ t is still necessary ciently or less cost	No Yes No No No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity shoulother Office? Yes	d be transferredNo
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently If so, which compor	? Less costly? Lent and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the so, what?	e light of
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ency security. Too	
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS tha No If so, wh	t you feel is more at?

IDENTIFICATION OF Release 2000/09/94 o CLASEDE 83509823500070002700027034-201s.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 15	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force? Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still mo (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient for how?	is still necessary: les V
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

• .	·
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 16	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel : Can the activity be done more eff: If so, how?	necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility f to another OS component or to a If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No
Can another component do the acti More properly? Yes No	wity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes No If	g questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in s significant effect on overall A If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes_	ing pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034t2ernal approvals. IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Processes Contract Type 20034t2ernal approvals.

IDENT Approved for Release 2000/09/03 pCIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, A, (a), 17 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division Short	
	•
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No No Nho is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?)
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferre to another OS component or to another Office? YesNo	:d
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No	,
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?	re

IDENT Approvedion Repease 2001/09/03: Ch ol	RDP83B00823R000700002003462 cks.
--	---

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 18	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance I	oivision/
EVALUATION:	DATE:	SEOB
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more efficient of the control of	t is still necessary? Yes	No No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transother Office? Yes No	Kerred
Can another component do the activ More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less co If so, which component and wh	stly? y?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scanificant effect on overall Again of the so, how?	ope/size or discontinued witho ency security? Yes No	ut —
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel No If so, what?	is more

IDENTIFAPPAQVECONFOUR Redesse 12000/09/03 to Glassic Redess 2000 2003 4-2 (external) approvals.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 19	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No No Of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	necessary? Yes No No No ciently or less costly? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activ More properly? Yes No/	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF Approved For R	ACTIVITY: Off elease 2000/09/03/h	ice of Security 14-RDP8380082	Ţ Ŗ ₽Ŏ07£000₽266	ent charg	ed with
25	5X1A act 5X1A	TATCIES MICE	the secur lements of	ity and	
· · ·					
ACTIVITY CODE: I,	A, (a), 20	COMPON	ENT: OS/PSI	/Clearance	e Division/
EVALUATION:		`.		DATE:	S&OB
.*				•	· .
What is (are) our	reference(s)	for this act	tivity? Da	ate(s)?	
•				•	
Is (are) the refe	rence(s) still beneficiary(ie	in force? s) of this a	Yes_activity?	No	- -
				•	
Do you feel the action Do(es) the benefic Can the activity of the If so, how?	clary(les) feel ne done more ef	l it is stil Eficiently o	l necessar or less cos	tly? Yes	No_
Do you feel that r to another OS co If so, where and	unhouseur or to	for the act another Off	ivity shou ice? Yes_	ld be tra	nsferred
			12 To		
Can another compon More properly?	ent do the act Yes No	ivity more. If so, w	efficientl hich compo	y? Less nent and	costly? why?
				•	
Does the activity Watergate? Yes_	include anythi	ng question: f so, what?	able in th	e light o	£
Can this activity significant effection If so, how?	be reduced in ct on overall	scope/size o Agency secur	or discont rity? Yes	inued with No	hout
Is there any activing important than the	ity not now be nis one? Yes_	ing pursued	by OS that If so, wha	t you feel at?	l is more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Office of Security component charged Approved For Release 2000/09/Q3_i+GIA+RPP\$3B40823R4007106920034-2conducting

25X1A liaison activities with the security elements of

non-USIB agencies.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 21	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this activity? Date(s)?
wilde 13 (die) our reference (3) 10	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still	necessary? Yes No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff	it is still necessary? Yes 🖊 No
If so, how?	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No
Can another component do the acti More properly? Yes No	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes No If	g questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in s significant effect on overall A If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Conducts expedite reviews of investigative Approved For Release 2000/09/03:164-REP83E00823E009790020934-2ies.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 22	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? YesNo of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes. No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?
·	

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Conducts liaison with White House security Approved For Release 2000/09/03f:GIA-RDP83B00823B000700020034-2 House security in connection with courier and staff access list for White House and Executive Office.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 23	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	SGOB <u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(e) still in	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No No No This activity?
Do you feel the activity is still r Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes / No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything	guestionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes No If's	o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Represent the Office of Security on the Approved For Release 2000/09/A3ppQIA-RAPP83R909823R909729020034-2

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 24	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance	Division/
ENALHATION		SEOB
EVALUATION:	DATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in	force? Yes No	-
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	f this activity?	
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
		-
Do you feel the activity is still n	ecessary? Yes ./ No	-
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it	is still necessary? Vas	No
can the activity be done more effic	iently or less costly? Yes	No
If so, how?		× .
		•
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be tran ther Office? Yes No	sferred
Can another component do the activion More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less c If so, which component and w	ostly? hy?
Does the activity include anything	mostionable in the light of	
Watergate? Yes No If so	nuestionable in the light of the what?	
	,	-
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Ager If so, how?	oe/size or discontinued with acy security? Yes No	out —
	,	
s there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel No If so, what?	is more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY. Represent the Office of Security on the Approved For Release 2000/09/83 e CIA-RDP83R90823R900700020034a2nel.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 25	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
	DATE:
EVALUATION:	DATE.
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still no (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient for the so, how?	r is still necessary: les V No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

for managing the Agency Drug Seminar Program for employees and dependents being assigned overseas. ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 26 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/ EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No__ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No__ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ___ No _/ If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 FCIA RDP83E00823R000700020034-2t responsible

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Office of Schrifton 7600 2003 42 tresponsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03 for the preparation of the "Clearance Division Report on Drug Usage Cases."

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 27	COMPONENT OS	/PSI/Clearance	Dia
EVALUATION:	GOTT ONENT: 05	DATE:	SGOB
What is (ama)			
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity?	Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in	force? Yes	No	
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	f this activity	y? NO	
Do you feel the activity is still n	ACOSSA my? V		
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?			NoNo
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	the activity s ther Office? Y	hould be trans	sferred
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No]	y more efficient f so, which con	ntly? Less component and wh	stly? ly?
Does the activity include anything quatergate? Yes No If so	uestionable in , what?	the light of	
		- -	
Can this activity be reduced in scop significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	e/size or disco cy security? Y	ontinued witho	<u>ut</u>
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS t	hat you feel what?	is more

	ROUTIN	IG AND	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional) 25%	1A			
FROM: Policy and Plans Gr	oup		EXTENSION X5311	DATE 24 January 1975
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line ocrass column after each comment.
Chief, Clearance Division	n	hya	He_	Under Objective B57104 the Office of Security will re-
² C/STPB				View and validate all Office of Security functions; activitiesto ensure effec
e/res				tiveness, economy and effici The attached documents re- present two milestones under
				that objective. Milestone 1 the components identified their functions and activiti
5.				Milestone 2 was establishmen of a format for evaluating these. activities. It is re
6				quested that the Branch Offi review the attachment and: a) Certify that there are no
				deletions/connections/ additions to be made to the previous listing submitted
•				by your office. b) Review the Identification of Activity statement on
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				each evaluation sheet to ensure it fully equales to the comparable activity
7 () () () () () () () () () (from your offices listing c) Use the attached format to evaluate each individua
2:.				activity.
3.				1 to 2 - ph for the on the shown cant
				@ast-